Open Access Journal of Business and Economics
ISSN: 3068-420X | Volume 3, Issue 2, 2026
www.aytinpublications.com

Research Article

The Power and Responsibility of Influencer Marketing

Dr. Janemary Thirusanku*[1], Buvanrajarathinam Nagarathenam[2]

[1]*Programme Leader for Language cum Senior Lecturer, Academic Department, Peninsula College Shah Alam, Malaysia.*
[2]*Logistics School, Peninsula College Shah Alam, Malaysia.*

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Janemary Thirusanku, Programme Leader for Language cum Senior Lecturer, Academic Department, Peninsula College Shah Alam, Malaysia.

Abstract

Influencer marketing has become an important strategy in digital marketing, enabling brands to promote products through social media personalities who are perceived as trustworthy and relatable. However, the rapid growth of influencer marketing has raised concerns regarding transparency, authenticity, and consumer protection. The purpose of this study is to critically evaluate the effectiveness of governance in influencer marketing, particularly focusing on disclosure practices, authenticity cues, audience vulnerability, and the influence of regulatory and platform-based approaches on consumer welfare and brand risk. This study adopts a qualitative research approach based on secondary data, including academic literature, industry reports, and existing case studies. The analysis is supported by theoretical frameworks such as Source Credibility Theory, Persuasion Knowledge Theory, and the Elaboration Likelihood Model to explain how influencer marketing affects consumer perceptions and decision-making. In addition, a SWOT analysis comparing Gymshark and Fashion Nova is used to evaluate how influencer marketing governance can influence brand reputation and ethical marketing practices. The findings suggest that disclosure mechanisms and platform policies contribute to improving transparency in influencer marketing; however, their effectiveness is limited by inconsistent implementation and audience vulnerability. The study highlights the importance of stronger governance frameworks, transparent influencer partnerships, and improved consumer awareness to enhance ethical marketing practices and protect consumer interests in the evolving digital marketing environment.

Keywords: Influencer Marketing Governance, Disclosure, Authenticity, Consumer Protection, Brand Risk

Article Information

Recieved: 14 July 2026

Accepted: 24 July 2026

Published: 29 July 2026

Cite this article as:

Janemary Thirusanku, Buvanrajarathinam Nagarathenam. The Power and Responsibility of Influencer Marketing. Open Access Journal of Business and Economics, 2026; 3(2): 15-23.

https://doi.org/10.71123/3068-420X.030202

Introduction

In this digital era, influencer marketing has risen to the strategic peak of companies that are interested in approaching the consumer in a way that can be regarded both as natural and convincing. Such platforms as Instagram, Tik Tok, and YouTube put influencers in a position, actors of a significant size with a reputation of credibility and equip them with the ability to shape consumer attitudes, tastes, and buying behaviors. The spread of this marketing paradigm is predetermined by consumer desire to watch personal based content, peer influenced recommendation and interactive brand experiences (De Veirman, 2017). However, the growth in the exposure of consumers comes with increased scrutiny hence making the regulation of the influencer marketing a top issue. No longer is ethical behavior a matter of choice in this field, consumers expect both influencers and sponsored brands to behave in a transparent and honest and accountable manner. Influencer marketing governance refers to the policies, regulations and platform-related requirements that determine how influencers disclose sponsored content, demonstrate authenticity and vulnerability of the audience (Audrezet, 2020). Consumer protection, in its turn, is meant to protect audiences against deceitful, misleading, or manipulative marketing, especially vulnerable ones like minors or those who have low media literacy. The major aspects of an effective governance would be uninhibited disclosure of brand alliances, authenticity indicators, which will identify credibility and truthfulness, and strategies that aim to curb the exploitation of audience confidence. Without such protective measures, brands are faced with reputational damage, loss of consumer confidence, and even regulatory fines (Campbell, 2020).

The current research is attempting to critically evaluate the effectiveness of influencer marketing governance and to study the role played by regulatory and platform-based schemes in consumer welfare and brand risk. The research study shall investigate whether disclosure activities, truth telling indicators, and vulnerability of the audience are adequate to guard the consumer, and in the same breath assess the consequences of failure in regulation of the brand name. The work is divided into three main parts, which are literature review, critical discussion and the set of some recommendations (Kapitan, 2016). The literature review will examine the existing studies on the topic of influencer marketing and its governance, followed by reviewing regulatory forces and platform policies. Thereafter, actionable recommendations will be discussed to support ethical practices and increase consumer trust. The constraints of this study are related to the fact that it focuses on social media influencer marketing in developed economies, primarily Instagram and TikTok, and uses the already existing case studies and secondary data to assess audience vulnerability. According to such an approach, the complexity of the reality of consumer behavior in real time might not be captured (Farrell, 2020).

Literature Review

With the advent of influencer marketing, the modern-day marketing environments have radically transformed due to the perceived authority and social capital of specific influencers to affect consumer attitudes, preferences, and purchasing behaviours using those factors. With the expansion of the reach and impact of such practices, academic research has been focused to a greater extent on governance systems, and consumer protection (Freberg, 2011). The given literature review presents the critical overview of theoretical viewpoints, conceptual approaches, and empirical data gathered on the balancing of influencer marketing, focusing specifically on disclosure mechanisms, the authenticity hints, and vulnerability of the audience. It also examines a regulatory and platform perspective of consumer protection and outlines its implications on brand risk (Boerman, 2017).

Theoretical Background of Influencer Marketing Governance

Several theoretical frameworks form the basis of governance of influencer marketing. According to the Source Credibility Theory, people are more easily convinced by communicators who have the reputation of being trustworthy, competent, and expert. In the context of influencer marketing, credibility is the defining feature of consumer attitude formation, and the systems of governance, including disclosure cues and authenticity cues, are used to promote or, when inadequately implemented, deny perceived credibility (Ki, 2020). The Asymmetry Theory of Information is another way of contextualising the influence marketing as it brings out the imbalance in information between the consumer and the brand. Good governance aims at alleviating this asymmetry, where consumers would be able to differentiate between personal recommendations and paid endorsements. A combination of this theoretical orientation provides a basis of an argument on why there is need to have a structured governance that protects the welfare and brand integrity of consumers (Campbell, 2020).

Disclosure Practices

The concept of disclosure is explicitly referred to as the announcement of a material relationship of an influencer to a brand and is usually functionalised in the form of hashtags, labels, or tags related to a specific platform. It has been proven by empirical literature that disclosure can increase the transparency and help to counteracting deceptive marketing practices (Boerman, 2020). However, disclosures are subject to the effectiveness of their salience, readability and location in content. According to research, audiences can either ignore or misunderstand such disclosures particularly those that are subtle or those that are found in material that is entertainment based. As much as disclosure may enhance realisation of awareness of persuasive intent, its effect on behavioural results like trust or purchase intention is not consistent and there is a need to limit its application through subtle and context-specific governance approaches (Evans, 2017).

Authenticity Cues

The authenticity is another decisive factor of consumer interest in the influencer marketing. Perceived authenticity is boosted with the help of authenticity cues such as storytelling, experiential content, and informal communication. Nevertheless, the disclosures of sponsorship or incongruent content with the image of the influencer can reduce the perceived authenticity, which will lead to loss of consumer faith and brand image. The literature reflects a conflict between transparency and the perceived sincerity, which indicates that there is a need to ensure that the approaches are balanced between the ethical requirements and that governments strike a balance between ethical governance and approaches that do not diminish the authentic voice of the approached (Audrezet, 2020).

Audience Vulnerability

Audience vulnerability relates to the fact that some groups of people like adolescents, children, or those with a low media literacy get influenced by persuasive marketing. The studies emphasize that audience groups at risk might have a hard time distinguishing between sponsored content, which puts the ethical accountability of the brands as well as influencers in greater focus. Effective governance structures go further than disclosure and find their downstream solution in platform level interventions, digital literacy programs and content moderation processes that seek to defend vulnerable consumers. The literature suggests that designing the mechanisms that can ensure the welfare of the audience is possible only in case of a comprehensive approach to the issues of their cognitive, social, and emotional aspects (Rozendaal, 2011).

Platform and Regulatory Governance Strategies

Regulatory governance entails the usage of formal rules that are designed by the authorities of the government to create transparency and prevent misleading advertisements. The governance of social media consists of automatic processes alongside policies aimed at identifying, labelling, and tracking sponsored posts. The two strategies are geared toward this goal of improving consumer protection, enforcements, transnational campaigns, and commercial incentives make their implementation difficult. Researchers recommend that regulators, platforms, and consumer education form a unified model of governance to provide significant protection and at the same time retain the operative gains of influencer marketing (Federal Trade Commission, 2023).

Summary Evaluation

Literature shows that the influencer marketing governance has undergone a considerable change in the last decade, but there are still considerable gaps. Although disclosure and authenticity systems are essential, their applicability differs based on audiences and contexts and regulatory and platform frameworks are not perfectly implemented. Successful governance is multidimensional and involves threefold theories, operational processes, and subtle sense of those who can be deceived by the announcer. It is on this foundation that the efficacy of influencer marketing governance can be critically evaluated to achieve consumer protection, and brand risk management (Campbell, 2020).

Discussion

Now shaping much of today's online promotion, influencer marketing sees companies turning to familiar faces on social networks instead of classic ads. Because these platforms grow so quickly, messages now feel less like broadcasts and more like personal conversations. Trust builds when followers see real-life moments shared by someone they follow regularly. Since such voices seem genuine, many people listen closely to what they suggest buying. Yet alongside its rise come questions about honesty in posts, fairness behind endorsements, and whether buyers truly understand what they're being sold (Lou, 2019).

Sometimes a follower cannot tell whether praise comes from genuine liking or payment, especially when financial ties stay hidden. Because of such concerns, rules requiring clear sponsorship labels emerged alongside tools showing authenticity cues and social media guidelines meant to increase openness. Such systems exist mainly to shield buyers from misleading claims, yet they simultaneously help companies avoid public backlash tied to dishonest promotion methods (Boerman, 2017). Even so, experts continue questioning how well these controls work uneven application, impressionable audiences, plus constant shifts online keep weakening their impact. This analysis takes a close look at how rules shape influencer marketing, focusing on transparency habits, signals of genuineness, alongside official guidelines each affecting buyer well-being and company exposure. From another angle, oversight effects on public image plus campaign success emerge through case illustrations tied to established concepts (Campbell, 2020).

Governance Mechanisms in Influencer Marketing

Rules around influencer marketing shape how brands and influencers work together, focusing on openness and fair conduct. Starting with laws passed by governments, oversight also comes from social media platforms setting their own terms. Industry groups sometimes step in, crafting shared standards without outside pressure. Clarity matters most people should recognize ads when they see them online. When structures function well, buyers understand what is being sold and why. Decisions then reflect awareness, not confusion shaped by hidden promotion. Oversight blends top-down mandates with choices made within digital spaces themselves (Federal Trade Commission, 2023).

Disclosure and Transparency

One key part of managing influencer marketing lies in transparency. When creators earn money from companies, rules say they must make that clear. Labels like “ad” or “sponsored,” along with built-in features on apps such as TikTok or Instagram, help mark these posts. How people react to such signals ties back to what researchers call Persuasion Knowledge Theory. What matters often depends not just on the label itself, but how viewers interpret it. This idea suggests people gradually notice how ads try to influence them, shifting reactions once they spot a selling motive. Once sponsorship is openly stated by influencers, followers can see such posts for what they are ads not genuine views. That clarity supports honest communication within digital promotion (Pan, 2025).

Still, making information public does not guarantee it works well. Some influencers tuck required tags into caption corners where they blend in with others. Vague wording sometimes masks what should be clear about paid partnerships. Scrolling habits on digital platforms move faster than comprehension can follow. Because of this rhythm, transparency rules alone might miss their mark when shielding audiences from unclear advertising signals (Boerman, 2020).

Authenticity and Influencer Credibility

Truthfulness matters greatly when brands work with online personalities, since fans tend to see these figures as honest messengers. Because they talk about real moments daily habits, choices, things they like their words feel close to life. When posts come across as sincere, people listening are more likely to believe them. That belief strengthens how much weight their opinions carry (Audrezet, 2020). Not every voice carries equal weight credibility often hinges on how skilled, honest, or appealing the speaker seems. When someone stands out in fields like tech, style, or health, their views tend to shift what people think and buy. A person seen as knowledgeable gains attention without trying too hard. Trust builds slowly yet vanishes fast - this balance shapes audience response. Appearance matters, but only when paired with real insight. Influence grows where competence meets reliability, even if quietly (Lou, 2019).

A standout case emerges in Gymshark, a worldwide name in fitness clothing shaped heavily by partnerships with online creators. Rather than traditional advertising, the company leans on fitness personalities who post training tips, personal regimens, and everyday wellness insights. Through these figures, viewers see real moments of product integration gear worn during intense sessions or recovery days alike. Because usage feels natural, trust builds without forced messaging. Growth follows closely behind credibility, fuelled less by slogans and more by shared experiences between audience and advocate. Still, overloaded brand deals risk eroding genuine connection. If followers see constant promotions, doubt often replaces belief. Trust fades when partnerships feel less like recommendations, more like routines. That shift threatens campaign impact, since scepticism undermines message reception. Brands then face weakened outcomes simply by association (Campbell, 2020).

Audience Vulnerability in Influencer Marketing

Young people on social platforms often face subtle pressures from influencer posts. Because their habits and views are still forming, these users might absorb promotional messaging without questioning it deeply. Influence spreads quietly through lifestyle displays, casual endorsements, even humour. Trust builds fast when a familiar voice recommends something. That trust can blur the line between choice and suggestion. Decisions feel personal, yet they’re shaped by curated feeds (Rozendaal, 2011). What looks like friendship may carry commercial intent. Not every follower recognizes that shift. Younger millennials along with Gen Z spend much time on apps like TikTok and Instagram. Following influencers comes naturally to them, drawn by fun videos, daily life glimpses, or suggestions about what to buy. Since sponsored posts feel just like casual updates, telling real opinions apart from ads isn’t always straightforward (Djafarova, 2017).

One way to understand this situation comes from the Elaboration Likelihood Model. When people face persuasive messages, their minds might take a deep path focusing closely on facts or a shallow one, guided instead by surface features like charm, fame, or mood triggers. Often, influencer promotions work not by argument but by image it is the character, daily life, or prestige of the person that sways others, skipping close inspection of what is being sold (Sokolova, 2020). Because of this shift away from scrutiny, some audience members become more exposed to influence, leaning hard on endorsements without checking details before buying. Take a moment to consider how certain style bloggers endorse quick-turnaround clothing lines, pushing constant shopping sprees through emotionally charged posts. Without clear disclosure, audiences might act on subtle nudges instead of weighing actual value choices shaped more by presentation than reflection (Jin, 2019).

Brand Risk and Ethical Challenges

Furthermore, influencer marketing might expose companies to serious problems. If an influencer does not reveal paid partnerships or spreads false information, backlash often follows damaging the reputation of both the individual and the brand involved. Beginning with an online presence built on speed, Fashion Nova turns to influencers instead of traditional ads. Thousands now share its clothing lines through posts shaped by paid partnerships. Visibility climbs sharply when celebrities join these efforts across digital spaces. Moreover, behind rising sales numbers come questions about what gets revealed and what stays hidden in such campaigns. Growth does not silence debate over fairness in how messages are delivered (Duffett, 2020).

Sometimes, those who promoted Fashion Nova items did not make their financial ties obvious. Later, oversight agencies sent alerts about unclear sponsor mentions targeting both online personalities and companies. These cases underline how crucial strong supervision is when it comes to fair marketing behaviour. Looking at things differently, stakeholder thinking shows firms should weigh what matters to many groups: shoppers, officials, backers, and internet users alike. When influencers act ethically, confidence grows between brands, audiences, and partners reputation stays intact because choices reflect accountability. On the other hand, weak oversight often brings scepticism from customers, fines from authorities, along with unfavourable press coverage due to poor judgment (Van Reijmersdal, 2020).

Comparison of Gymshark and Fashion Nova using SWOT Analysis

Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) this framework often guides assessments of what shapes an organisation's results. When turned toward influencer marketing oversight, the method reveals contrasts in how companies handle ethics and maintain confidence among audiences (Gürel, 2017).

Strengths

Gymshark's visibility lies a pattern of real connections with fitness personalities. Rather than one-off sponsorships, it builds ongoing relationships with individuals already using their gear. Because these promoters are actual users, scepticism fades among audiences. Trust grows when messages come from people seen as peers, not paid actors. Engagement thrives as influencers host live sessions, share routines, sometimes even invite feedback on new designs. Openness like this feed's credibility slowly, steadily pulling customers into a consistent loop (Sokolova, 2020). Unlike traditional brands, Fashion Nova thrives by leaning heavily on influencer promotions. Through partnerships with countless online personalities, visibility spreads fast across digital spaces. Fast fashion meets budget-friendly pricing, pulling in shoppers focused on style without spending much. Reach grows steadily because content flows naturally through trusted voices online (Schouten, 2020).

Weaknesses

Even though it is well known, Gymshark struggles with certain weaknesses in how it uses influencers. Focusing only on fitness limits who can connect with the brand when set against more general clothing companies. A stumble by a sponsored athlete might ripple back to the company, simply because campaigns lean so heavily on personal endorsements. Fashion Nova draws scrutiny over openness and moral questions. Its broad influencer efforts occasionally miss visible sponsorship tags sparking unease about unclear promotion. Besides, observers point out that rapid clothing production often harms ecological balance and fair labour practices. Over time, such issues might chip away at customer confidence, quietly shaping how the label is seen (Abidin, 2021).

Opportunities

With more shoppers paying attention to fair marketing, companies gain chances to improve oversight in influencer work. Because of rising expectations, Gymshark could build greater trust through clear messaging and accountable partnerships online. As digital spaces evolve, stepping into emerging platforms might deepen connections across regions. Engagement in active wellness networks offers another path toward wider recognition. A shift toward clearer communication might strengthen how customers view Fashion Nova (Lim, 2021). Furthermore, past approaches lacked openness, changes in influencer collaboration like stating partnerships plainly can make a difference. Instead of vague claims, straightforward messaging may slowly restore credibility. Another path forward lies in tackling environmental impact, something many shoppers now consider. By launching items made with lower ecological harm, the brand responds to growing demand without grand promises. Sharing these steps not as promotions but facts let audiences judge progress on their own terms (Lou, 2019).

Threats

Facing tighter oversight, influencer marketing now poses risks for non-compliant companies. As new transparency mandates emerge from regulators and online platforms, those depending on influencer driven campaigns could see their strategies disrupted unexpectedly.

Fuelled by sharper scrutiny, buyer choices now shift under the weight of ethics in advertising. Rather than accepting promotions at face value, people question influencer-backed claims more closely. Transparency stands out as a deciding factor when loyalty forms around companies. When shortcuts replace honesty in partnerships with influencers, confidence erodes. Damaged reputation often follows where integrity was overlooked (De Jans, 2020).

Conclusion

Digital ads now often depend on popular online figures who connect directly with followers, shifting how companies reach customers. Still, growing dependence on these individuals brings questions about honesty, openness, and whether viewers are properly safeguarded. A close look was taken at how well rules work in this space especially around disclosures, signs of genuine content, risks to certain viewer groups, and how both regulators and platforms influence outcomes for people and businesses. Findings show clarity matters: telling audiences when a post is sponsored helps them see the business motive behind what they watch or read. Labels and tags made for sponsorships serve this purpose, making it easier to spot promoted material amid regular posts. This idea fits with Persuasion Knowledge Theory, people tend to question ads more once they sense an effort to influence them. Still, findings show simply revealing a sponsor might not shield users well, since quick-moving social feeds can cause people to miss or misread those cues (De Jans, 2020). What stands out is how realness shapes success in influencer campaigns. When influencers use what they promote, trust grows naturally between them and followers. Evidence like these lines up with the idea that believable sources persuade better trust matters, so does know-how. Yet too many sponsored posts or vague disclosures can erode that sense of honesty, putting both influencer image and company standing at risk. Young people, especially those drawn to influencer posts online, face higher risks due to their frequent engagement. Because attention often shifts toward traits like charm or fame instead of facts, decision-making can bypass careful thought this stems from how persuasion works under the Elaboration Likelihood Model. When choices emerge from emotion rather than analysis, oversight becomes more critical (Belanche, 2021).

Earlier studies align here clearer rules, tighter control by platforms, and better public understanding help reduce misuse in influencer promotions. Without open collaboration between companies and creators, confidence erodes slowly ethics matter just as much as outcomes for long-term credibility. Despite limitations, this work meets its goals through careful analysis of how rules and platforms shape influencer marketing. Exploring what comes next might mean looking at artificial intelligence, digital personalities, or automated content - each affecting how open brands appear and how people view them. Protection for users, balanced with growth in online promotion, remains central when shaping policy around these tools (Khamis, 2021).

Recommendations

Strong oversight in influencer marketing helps safeguard buyers, supports honest branding, while building trust through fair promotion methods. A closer look revealed gaps like poor transparency rules, fading genuine engagement, open risks for followers, along with tighter government attention. Given these issues, changes could include clearer guidelines, stronger accountability systems, proactive monitoring, plus adjustments that prioritize user safety alongside long-term company resilience (Kapoor, 2021).

Strengthen Disclosure and Transparency Standards

Despite clear rules, disclosure habits in influencer marketing often lack consistency. Many creators do include terms like “ad” or “sponsored,” yet place them where viewers might overlook buried deep in long captions, for instance. When disclosures blend into background text, followers can miss the commercial intent behind posts. This weak visibility threatens honest communication between brands and public. Clearer placement and timing matter just as much as the label itself. Stricter policies on how and where sponsors are mentioned would help address gaps in current practice (Van Reijmersdal, 2020). Right from the start, disclosures need to show up clearly in posts, videos, or captions so viewers recognize paid content immediately. While posting, influencers ought to apply built in labelling features available on platforms like TikTok or Instagram tools meant for marking sponsorships. Contracts between brands and creators, when spelled out precisely, help meet legal standards and build audience confidence over time. Openness in messaging does more than shield users it lowers risk of fines and public backlash tied to unclear promotions (Wojdynski, 2020).

Prioritise Authentic Influencer Partnerships

One more flaw spotted in the SWOT review involves shrinking authenticity within influencer-driven promotions. When creators take on too many paid deals or brief collaborations, followers might start doubting how sincere those endorsements really are. Without genuine connection, audience confidence tends to slip lowering campaign impact over time. Instead of quick one-off posts, firms do better when working steadily with influencers whose lifestyles match what they sell. Gymshark, its bond with athletes who wear the gear daily has helped grow a loyal customer base through real-life visibility. Over time, working closely with influencers lets them weave products into daily narratives this builds familiarity. Because genuine moments matter more than constant ads, followers tend to listen. When brands prioritize realness, trust grows quietly. Instead of pushing messages, slow partnerships shape how people perceive value. Effectiveness rises when promotion feels like conversation. Audiences respond better if something does not seem staged (Yu, 2023).

Improve Consumer Education and Media Literacy

Younger audiences often struggle to recognize hidden advertising when scrolling through social media feeds. Because they trust influencers, their ability to make careful buying choices can weaken without clear warnings about sponsored posts. One way to tackle the problem involves teaching people more about online influence through clear learning efforts by companies and websites. Because understanding grows when users see real examples, showing how ads hide in social feeds matters a lot. Clearer labels on promoted posts might appear directly inside updates, helping spot payments behind recommendations. When followers know what is advertised, they choose differently often with less impulse. Awareness shifts power slightly back toward the viewer, away from hidden promotion tactics (Hudders, 2021).

Strengthen Platform Monitoring and Regulatory Collaboration

Growing oversight from regulators emerged as a key challenge in the SWOT review of influencer marketing. When new ad rules are enforced by government bodies, companies ignoring transparency guidelines could encounter penalties or public backlash. Because compliance gaps persist, closer coordination among policymakers, businesses, and online networks becomes essential. Since automated checks often miss subtle promotions, social media sites must refine their tools to identify hidden sponsorships more effectively. One way to spot possible rule-breaking is through artificial intelligence, which might sharpen how rules are enforced. When regulators work more closely together, shared guidelines for influencer marketing can take shape without losing sight of safeguarding buyers online. What matters most shows up in how digital promotion treats its audience (Calderón Monge, 2022).

Focusing on these suggestions may reinforce how influencer marketing is governed, mainly through clearer disclosures, more honest communication, greater public understanding, while also meeting legal standards. Such steps support an environment where ethics and long-term viability grow naturally, serving audiences alongside businesses alike (Martínez-López, 2020).

References

1. Abidin, C. (2021). Mapping internet celebrity on social media: From microcelebrity to influencer. *Celebrity Studies, 12*(1), 77–92. https://doi.org/10.1080/19392397.2020.1800665
2. Audrezet, A., de Kerviler, G., & Moulard, J. G. (2020). Authenticity under threat: When social media influencers need to go beyond self-presentation. *Journal of Business Research, 117*, 557–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.008
3. Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., Flavián, C., & Ibáñez-Sánchez, S. (2021). Building influencers' credibility on Instagram: Effects on followers' attitudes and behavioral responses toward the influencer. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 61*, 102585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102585
4. Boerman, S. C. (2020). The effects of the standardized Instagram disclosure for micro- and meso-influencers. *Computers in Human Behavior, 103*, 199–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.09.015
5. Boerman, S. C., Willemsen, L. M., & Van Der Aa, E. P. (2017). "This post is sponsored": Effects of sponsorship disclosure on persuasion knowledge and electronic word of mouth in the context of Facebook. *Journal of Interactive Marketing, 38*, 82–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2016.12.002
6. Calderón Monge, E., Pastor Sanz, I., & Huerta Zúñiga, C. (2022). The role of artificial intelligence in digital marketing: A systematic literature review.

Sustainability, 14(14), 8757.https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148757

7. Campbell, C., & Farrell, J. R. (2020). More than meets the eye: The functional components underlying influencer marketing. *Business Horizons, 63*(4), 469–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2020.03.003
8. De Jans, S., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2020). How an advertising disclosure alerts young adolescents to sponsored vlogs: The moderating role of a peer-based advertising literacy intervention. *Journal of Advertising, 49*(3), 309–325. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2020.1744641
9. De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising, 36*(5), 798–828.https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035
10. Djafarova, E., & Rushworth, C. (2017). Exploring the credibility of online celebrities' Instagram profiles in influencing the purchase decisions of young female users. *Computers in Human Behavior, 68*, 1–7.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.009
11. Duffett, R. G. (2020). Influence of social media marketing communications on young consumers' attitudes. *Young Consumers, 21*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-07-2019-1031
12. Evans, N. J., Phua, J., Lim, J., & Jun, H. (2017). Disclosing Instagram influencer advertising: The effects of disclosure language on advertising recognition, attitudes, and behavioral intent. *Journal of Interactive Advertising, 17*(2), 138–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2017.1366885
13. Federal Trade Commission. (2023). *Disclosures 101 for social media influencers*. https://www.ftc.gov/business-guidance/resources/disclosures-101-social-media-influencers
14. Freberg, K., Graham, K., McGaughey, K., & Freberg, L. A. (2011). Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality. *Public Relations Review, 37*(1), 90–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001
15. Gürel, E., & Tat, M. (2017). SWOT analysis: A theoretical review. *Journal of International Social Research, 10*(51), 994–1006. https://doi.org/10.17719/jisr.2017.1832
16. Hudders, L., De Jans, S., & De Veirman, M. (2021). The commercialization of social media stars: A literature review and conceptual framework on the strategic use of social media influencers. *International Journal of Advertising, 40*(3), 327–375.https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2020.1836925
17. Jin, S. V., Muqaddam, A., & Ryu, E. (2019). Instafamous and social media influencer marketing. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 37*(5), 567–579. https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-09-2018-0375
18. Kapitan, S., & Silvera, D. H. (2016). From digital media influencers to celebrity endorsers: Attributions drive endorser effectiveness. *Marketing Letters, 27*(3), 553–567. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-015-9363-0
19. Kapoor, K. K., Tamilmani, K., Rana, N. P., Patil, P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Nerur, S. (2021). Advances in social media research: Past, present and future. *Information Systems Frontiers, 23*(3), 531–558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-10028-7
20. Khamis, S., Ang, L., & Welling, R. (2021). Self-branding, 'micro-celebrity' and the rise of social media influencers. *Celebrity Studies, 12*(1), 191–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/19392397.2020.1800669
21. Ki, C.-W. C., Cuevas, L. M., Chong, S. M., & Lim, H. (2020). Influencer marketing: Social media influencers as human brands attaching to followers and yielding positive marketing results by fulfilling needs. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 55*, 102133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102133
22. Lim, X. J., Radzol, A. R. M., Cheah, J.-H., & Wong, M. W. (2021). The impact of social media influencers on purchase intention and the mediation effect of customer attitude. *Asian Journal of Business Research, 11*(1), 19–36. https://doi.org/10.14707/ajbr.210101
23. Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media. *Journal of Interactive Advertising, 19*(1), 58–73.https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501
24. Martínez-López, F. J., Anaya-Sánchez, R., Fernández Giordano, M., & Lopez-Lopez, D. (2020). Behind influencer marketing: Key marketing decisions and their effects on followers' responses. *Journal of Marketing Management, 36*(7–8), 579–607. https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2020.1738525
25. Pan, M., et al. (2025). Influencer marketing effectiveness: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-024-01052-7
26. Rozendaal, E., Buijzen, M., & Valkenburg, P. M. (2011). Children's understanding of advertisers' persuasive tactics. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 10*(6), 329–338.https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.362
27. Schouten, A. P., Janssen, L., & Verspaget, M. (2020). Celebrity vs. influencer endorsements in advertising: The role of identification, credibility, and product-endorser fit. *International Journal of Advertising, 39*(2), 258–281. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1634898

28. Sokolova, K., & Kefi, H. (2020). Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 53*, 101742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011

29. Van Reijmersdal, E. A., & Rozendaal, E. (2020). Transparency of influencer marketing: The role of disclosure and its effects on persuasion knowledge. *International Journal of Advertising, 39*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1575108

30. Van Reijmersdal, E. A., Rozendaal, E., & Buijzen, M. (2020). Effects of disclosing influencer marketing in videos: An eye tracking study among children and adolescents. *Journal of Interactive Marketing, 49*, 94–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2019.09.001

31. Wojdynski, B. W., & Evans, N. J. (2020). An introduction to the special issue on native and covert advertising formats. *International Journal of Advertising, 39*(1), 1–3. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338704942_An_introduction_to_the_special_issue_on_native_and_covert_advertising_formats

32. Yu, J. (2023). The effects of luxury brand influencer characteristics on consumer–brand connection and word-of-mouth intention. *Sustainability, 15*(8), 6937. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15086937.